MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR:

Since the Executive Secretary of the National Security Council is not circulating your memorandum to him of December 9, transmitting to him the Proposed WSC Intelligence Directives, you will undoubtedly wish to the Proposed WSC Intelligence Directives, you will undoubtedly wish to tring to the attention of the National Security Council when making your oral presentation about CIA at least the five following points:

- in The proposed directives are a compromise after a considerable discussion with the ad hoc committee and also Intelligence Chiefs from the various departments and agencies.
- 2. The dominant responsibility about air intelligence must be resolved in NSCIDS No. 2 and No. 3.
- 3. There is no disagreement in the substance of paragraphs 8, 9, and 10 of NSCID No. 1, but State thinks the method of procedure whereby the NSC gives direct instructions to the Departments is wrong.
- pour domnittee for advice, but army and havy seem to think as a committee for advice, but army and havy seem to think as a self-rity Council would spell this out in authoritative description of the Directive as written says "shall be established". The Directive to gether to advise you, but that is not sail such a committee together to advise you, but that is not the spinion of the members of the other agencies. They want the spinion of the Matterial Security Council mot by the Director of Central Intelligence.
- Personally, I think the Mational Security Council should spread the word down through their organizations that in accordance with the lot of Congress, after a trial run of a year or two under the lot of Congress, after a trial run of a year or two under the Personal directive, this is supposed to be a Centralized trial gence Agency and you are supposed to be the Director thereof, not an administrator or secretarist for the other organizations.

PARSCOTT CHILDS Chief, ICAPS